	DRAFI	I
Class Climate	Course and Faculty Evaluation Form [Copy]	SCANTRON"
Mark as shown:	□ 🕅 □ □ Please use a ball-point pen or a thin felt tip. This form will be processed automatically.	
Correction:	Please follow the examples shown on the left hand side to help optimize the reading results.	

Please rate the course and instructor on the criteria below by marking your response and by commenting in the space provided. Your response will be used for course improvement and in the faculty evaluation process.

Legend: SD-Strongly Disagree, D-Disagree, N-Neutral, A-Agree, and SA-Strongly Agree.

1. 8	Student Information								
1.1	Your major division (mark one or two):								
	□ Arts	🗌 L&L							
	Social Studies		Undecided						
1.2	Your level:								
	🗆 FY	🗆 FY 🛛 🗋 SO							
	□ SR								
1.3	Number of courses you have taken with	n this instructor prior to this semester:							
	0	□ 1	2 or more						
1.4	Number of courses you have taken in the	nis program prior to this semester:							
	0	□ 1	2 or more						
1.5	Your reasons for taking this course (ma								
	distribution requirement	program requirement	elective in program prerequisite for another course						
	elective in related program	curiosity about the subject							
4.0	□ other								
1.6	To what extent do you agree or disagre statement: I have worked to the best of								
4 7	Number of classes missed:	<i>my ability in this class.</i> disagree	agree						
1.7			□ 2						
	□ 0 □ 3		□ 2 □ 5 or more						
1.8	Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.								
1.0		or additional commentary is encourage							

2. Evaluation of the Course

		Ś	0	r	4	S.
2.1 2.2	The course was challenging. The course promoted thought and discussion outside of the classroom.					
2.3	The course objectives were clear.					



DRAFI								
Class Climate Course and Faculty Evaluation Form [Copy]					SCANTRON"			
2. Evaluation of the Course [Continue]								
2.4 2.5 2.6	The could overall r	criteria were clear. rse requirements were clear. rating of the course.	Very Poor			<i>▼</i>		Excellent
2.7	Further	elaboration on your responses and additional commentary	y is encoura <u>c</u>	ged. Sta	y within	the box.		
3. Evaluation of the Instructor								
				් ර	1	A CA	,	

Λ

			-	-	•	'	'	
3.1 3.2 3.3	The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach. The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject. The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, skills, and/or concepts effectively.							
3.4 3.5	The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful. The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).							
3.6	The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.							
3.7	The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.							
3.8	Overall rating of the instructor.	Very Poor						Excellent

3.9 Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.

